

Remarks

This is in response to the Office Action mailed March 31, 2008. Claims 11, 12, and 17 are allowed. Claims 1-3, 6-7, 14-16, 18, and 22 are rejected. Claims 4, 8-10, and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The Applicant has amended claim 1 to incorporate the limitations of claim 4 and intervening claim 3 and should now be allowable for at least this reason. Claims 3 and have been cancelled. Claims 2, 5, and 14 depend from and further limit claim 1 and should also be allowable.

The Applicant has amended claim 6 to incorporate the limitations of claim 8, and should now be allowable for at least this reason. Claim 8 has been cancelled. Claims 7, 9-10, and 15-16 depend from and further limit claim 6 and should also be allowable.

The Applicant has amended claim 18 to incorporate the limitations of claim 21 and should be allowable for at least this reason. Claim 21 has been cancelled. Claim 22 depends from and further limits claim 18 and should also be allowable.


Conclusion

This is intended to be a complete response to the Final Office Action mailed January 2, 2008. It is believed that all claims are now in condition for allowance based on the Examiner's comments in the official action.

Should any questions arise concerning this response, the Examiner is invited to contact the below signed attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

By:



Randall K. McCarthy, Registration No. 39,297

David G. Woodral, Registration No. 57,277

Fellers, Snider, Blankenship, Bailey and Tippens

100 N. Broadway, Suite 1700

Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102

Telephone: (405) 232-0621

Facsimile: (405) 232-9659

Customer No. 33900